

EPRA Data Report
2022/23

## **INTRODUCTION**

We are pleased to report our 2022/23 environmental performance in line with the EPRA Sustainability Best Practice Recommendations.

This environmental performance summary includes all landlord-obtained utility supplies and managed waste for all directly managed assets.

In order to promote comparability for our investors and other stakeholders, we have opted to use the 2022 DEFRA conversion factors and to separate energy consumption that is sub-metered and charged back to tenants.

### **IMPACT CATEGORY: ENERGY**

					Total portfoli	0						Office					Industrial		
Impact category	EPRA Sustainabili	Absolute measures (Abs)				Absolute measures (Abs)		Like-for-like (LfL)			Absolute measures (Abs)		Like-for-like (LfL)						
Environmental impacts	EPRA code Measurement Indicator				2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change
	Elec-Abs,		51	Total landlord-obtained electricity	23,875	32,578	22,490	24,265	7.9%	23,670	32,501	22,402	24,189	8.0%	205	76	88	76	-12.9%
	Elec-LfL	MWh	Electricity	Total electricity	23,875	32,578	22,490	24,265	7.9%	23,670	32,501	22,402	24,189	8.0%	205	76	88	76	-12.9%
				% from renewable sources	100%	100%		-	•	100%	100%		-	•	100%	100%		-	•
	No of applicable p	roperties		Floridity displaying according	55	70	70 48 -		52	68	4	16	-	3	2	2		-	
	m2 of applicable p	properties		Electricity disclosure coverage	355,846	411,901	318	,170	-	344,110	403,879	310,147 -			11,736	8,023	8,023		-
	%			Proportion of electricity estimated	3.70%	5.9%	1.5%	7.0%	-	3.2%	5.9%	1.4%	7.0%	-	60.0%	0.0%	6.6%	0.0%	-
	Fuel-Abs,	MWh	Fuel	Total landlord-obtained fuel	12,946	12,801	11,067	9,101	-17.8%	12,942	12,798	11,063	9,098	-17.8%	4	3	4	3	-22.2%
	Fuel-LfL	IVIVVN		Total fuel	12,946	12,801	11,067	9,101	-17.8%	12,942	12,798	11,063	9,098	-17.8%	4	3	4	3	-22.2%
				% from renewable sources	0%	0%		-		0%	0%		-		0%	0%		-	
Energy	No of applicable p	roperties		Fuel disclosure coverage	40	51	3	36	-	39	50	3	35	-	1	1		1	-
	m2 of applicable	properties		Fuel disclosure coverage	298,300 340,18		83 274,727		-	292,245	334,128	268,672		-	6,055	6,055	6,055		-
	%			Proportion of fuel estimated	10.0%	17.9%	3.9%	25.1%	-	10.0%	17.9%	3.8%	25.1%	-	96.9%	0.00%	96.9%	0.0%	-
	DH&C-Abs,	2 414/1-	District	Total landlord-obtained heating & cooling	939	805	939	805	-14.3%	939	805	939	805	-14.3%	0	0	0	0	-
	DH&C-LfL	MWh	heating & cooling	Total heating & cooling	939	805	939	805	-14.3%	939	805	939	805	-14.3%	0	0	0	0	-
				% from renewable sources	0%	0%		-		0%	0%		-		0%	0%		-	
	Nº of applicable p	roperties		Heating & cooling disclosure	6	6		5	-	6	6		5	-	0	0		0	-
	m2 of applicable	properties		coverage	44,359	44,359	40,	673	-	44,359	44,359	40,	,673	-	0	0		0	-
	%		Proportion of heating & cooling estimated	15.6%	0.0%	15.7%	0%	-	15.6%	0.0%	15.7%	0.0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	
	Energy-Int	MWh/m2/year	Energy Intensit	У	0.1061	0.1121	0.1084	0.1074	-0.9%	0.1091	0.1142	0.1109	0.1099	-0.9%	0.0178	0.0099	0.0114	0.0099	-13.3%

# Data qualifying note:

The total absolute impact (as the portfolio stood in each year) analysis represents our total energy footprint from landlord obtained supply in the buildings that we directly manage, irrespective of when they were acquired or disposed of. No buildings excluded for any concerns. We continue to work on better understanding the split between landlord and tenant consumption and have plans to install submeters to inform more accurate data reporting.

#### **IMPACT CATEGORY: GHG EMISSIONS**

Impact category	EDDA Suctai	nahility Port Practice I	Porformanco	Maggurag	Total portfol	io						Office					Industrial		
impact category	EPRA Sustainability Best Practice Performance Measures				Absolute measures (Abs)		Like-for-like (LfL)			Absolute measures (Abs)		Like-for-like (LfL)			Absolute measures (Abs)		Like-for-like (LfL)		
Environmental impacts	EPRA code	Measurement unit	Indicato r		2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change
	GHG-Dir- Abs		Direct	Total Scope 1	2,387	2,304	2,041	1,638	-19.7%	2,386	2,304	2,040	1,638	-19.7%	1	1	1	1	-24.0%
	GHG-Ind-		Indirect	Total Scope 2	5,230	6,437	4,936	4,830	-2.1%	5,186	6,423	4,917	4,815	-2.1%	43	15	19	15	-20.7%
	Abs	t CO2 Indirect Scope 1 -	Indirect	Total Scope 3	689	1,235	1,069	1,085	1.5%	676	1,229	1,060	1,079	1.9%	13	6	9	6	-34.5%
	Total		Scope 2	7,617	8,741	6,976	6,468	-7.3%	7,572	8,726	6,957	6,453	-7.2%	44	15	19	15	-20.8%	
	Total	Scope 1 +		Scope 2+ Scope 3	8,306	9,977	8,045	7,553	-6.1%	8,248	9,955	8,016	7,532	-6.0%	57	22	29	22	-25.3%
GHG emissions	Nº of applic	able properties		CUC disabassas assuran	55	70	4	8	-	52	68	4	6	-	3	2	2	2	-
	m2 of applic	cable properties		GHG disclosure coverage	355,846	411,901	318	,170	1	344,110	403,879	310	,147	-	11,736	8,023	8,0	23	-
	%			Proportion of GHG estimated	6%	9%	8%	7%	-	6%	9%	8%	7%	-	13%	0%	14%	0%	-
	GHG-Int			(Scope 1+ scope 2 ) / m2	0.0214	0.0212	0.0219	0.0203	-7.3%	0.0220	0.0216	0.0224	0.0208	-7.2%	0.0038	0.0013	0.0024	0.0019	-20.8%
					0.0027	-25.3%													

#### Data qualifying note:

As we have updated the approach we take to report our consumption data i.e. all in landlord obtained and consumed, our boundaries have also changed when reporting our emissions. This year we reported all energy usage emissions e.g. from electricity, natural gas and district heat into our Scope 1 and 2. In our Scope 3 we accounted for the emissions from the electricity losses during transmission and distribution, from the treatment and supply of our water consumption and from the processing of the waste we generated in our operations.

Emissions factors are taken from the UK Gov's DEFRA database.

The like-for-like analysis uses a static portfolio approach, which includes only those directly-managed properties that were held in the portfolios for the full duration of both and 2021/22 and 2022/23. It excludes properties acquired and disposed of in the period. It therefore allows us to compare the same group of properties year-on-year. The intensity metrics divide the total landlord obtained energy, water, or total emitted CO2 emissions with the related net lettable area (any sub-metered tenant consumption has not been removed or shown as itemised separately). To compare consecutive years, we have used consistent area data and ensured the numerator and denominator were as closely aligned as possible in accordance with EPRA Sustainability Best Practice Recommendations.

# **IMPACT CATEGORY: WATER**

Impact					Total portfolio	o						Office			Industrial				
category	EPRA Sustainability Best Practice Performance Measures				Absolute mea	sures (Abs)	ures (Abs) Like-for-like (LfL)			Absolute measures (Abs)		Like-for-like (LfL)			Absolute me	asures (Abs)	Like-for-like (LfL)		
Environmental impacts	EPRA code Measurement Indicator			2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	
	Water-Abs,	1 m3 I Wate	Water	Total landlord-obtained water	349,999	226,702	341,623	178,584	-47.7%	339,884	220,402	331,507	172,283	-48.0%	10,115	6,301	10,115	6,301	-37.7%
	Water-LfL			Total water consumption	349,999	226,702	341,623	178,584	-47.7%	339,884	220,402	331,507	172,283	-48.0%	10,115	6,301	10,115	6,301	-38%
	Nº of applica	ble properties		Water disclosure coverage	45	65	4:	2	ı	42	63	4	0	-	3	2	2	2	-
Water	m2 of applica	able properties		water disclosure coverage	302,980	381287	291,	636	-	294,957	373,264	283	,613	-	8,023	8,023	8,0	23	-
	%			Proportion of water estimated	5.32%	4.27%	5.43%	5.34%	-	5.25%	4.35%	5.36%	5.48%	-	7.42%	1.51%	7.42%	1.51%	-
	Water-Int	m3/m2/year Water Intensity		у	1.1552	0.5946	0.5300	0.6124	15.5%	1.1523	0.5905	1.1689	0.6075	-48%	1.2608	0.7853	1.2608	0.7853	-38%

# Data qualifying note:

The total absolute impact (as the portfolio stood in each year) analysis represents our total water footprint from landlord obtained supply in the buildings we directly manage.

### **IMPACT CATEGORY: WASTE**

					Total portfoli	io						Office			Industrial				
Impact category EPRA Sustainability Best Practice Performance Measures				ıres	Absolute measures (Abs) Like-for-like (LfL)			Absolute measures (Abs) Like-for-like (LfL)					Absolute me	asures (Abs)	Like-for-like (LfL)				
Environmental impacts	EPRA code	Measurement unit	Indicator		2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change	2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change
		Mosto		Total landlord- obtained waste	2,760	2,825	2,574	2,743	6.6%	2,571	2,714	2,389	2,632	10.2%	189	110	185	110	-40.3%
		Waste	Waste	Total waste by disposal route	2,760	2,825	2,574	2,743	6.6%	2,571	2,714	2,389	2,632	10.2%	189	110	185	110	-40.3%
	Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL	tonne		Recycled	2,526	1,707	1,472	1,654	12.4%	2,372	1,650	1,366	1,598	17.0%	154	56	106	56	-46.9%
	Nº of applica	tonne		Incineration	1,149	733	670	709	5.8%	1,079	699	621	674	8.4%	70	35	48	35	-27.6%
		tonne		Landfill	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	#DIV/0!	0	0	0	0	-
		tonne		Composting	742	385	432	380	-12.1%	697	365	401	361	-10.2%	45	19	31	19	-37.4%
		of applicable properties  Waste disclosure		56	51	5	1	-	56	49	4	9	-	0	2	2	!	-	
	m2 of applica	able properties		coverage	382,844	344,813	328,	419	-	368,250	336,790	320	,396	-	14,594	8,023	8,0	23	-
Waste		Waste		Total landlord- obtained waste	2,760	2,825	2,574	2,743	6.6%	2,571	2,714	2,389	2,632	10.2%	189	110	185	110	-40.3%
		waste		Total waste by disposal route	2,760	2,825	2,574	2,743	6.6%	2,571	2,714	2,389	2,632	10.2%	189	110	185	110	-40.3%
	Waste-Abs,	%	Waste	Recycled (%)	91.5%	60.4%	57.2%	60.3%	-	92.2%	60.8%	57.2%	60.7%	-	81.5%	50.8%	57.2%	50.8%	-
	Waste-LfL	%	vvuste	Incineration (%)	41.6%	26.0%	26.0%	25.8%	-	42.0%	25.7%	26.0%	25.6%	-	37.1%	31.6%	26.0%	31.6%	-
		%		Landfill (%)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
		%		Composting (%)	26.9%	13.6%	16.8%	13.9%	-	27.1%	13.5%	16.8%	13.7%	-	23.9%	17.6%	16.8%	17.6%	-
	% Nº of applicable p m2 of applicable p	ble properties		Waste disclosure	56	51	5	1	-	56	49	4	9	-	0	2	2		-
		able properties		coverage	382,844	344,813	328,	419	-	368,250	336,790	320	,396	-	14,594	8,023	8,0	23	-
	%			Proportion of waste estimated	40%	10%	40%	10%	-	39%	10%	39%	10%	-	57%	0%	39%	0%	-

## Data qualifying note:

We collect information for the waste generated in our directly managed assets. Data are provided by weight and immediate disposal route which allows us to identify the environmental impact of our operations. This year we were able to include an additional disposal route for Composting. We report on all of the properties which generate waste and for which data is available. No assets have been excluded from the analysis.

						PORTFOLIO P	ERFORMANCE		
						Total p	ortfolio		
					Absolute measures (Abs)		Like-for-like (LfL)		
Environmental impacts	EPRA code	Measurement unit	Indicator		2021/22	2022/23	2021/22	2022/23	% change
				% of portfolio certified by floor area	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
				level of energy performance (A,B,C etc)	C	С	С	С	ND
				% of portfolio certified by number of properties	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
				level of energy performance (A,B,C etc)	С	С	С	С	ND
				% of portfolio certified by value	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
Certified assets	Cert-Tot	%	Mandatory (Energy Performance Certificates)	level of energy performance (A,B,C etc)	С	С	С	С	ND
				% of portfolio certified by floor area	23%	16%	24%	24%	0%
				type and level of certification attained (i.e. LEED Platinum)	18 (9 x Excellent, 8 x Very Good, 1 x Good)	18 (1 Outstanding, 12 x Excellent, 9 x Very Good, 1 x Good)	N/D	N/D	N/D
				% of portfolio certified by number of properties					
					30%	27%	33%	33%	0%
	Cert-Tot	%	Voluntary	% of portfolio certified by value	25%	24%	25%	25%	0%

## **IMPACT CATEGORY: SOCIAL & CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IMPACTS**

						PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE						
Social & Corporate Governance impacts	EPRA code	Measurement unit	Indicator		2021/22	2022/23	% change					
	H&S-Asset	% of assets	Asset health and safety assessments		43%	29%	-33%					
	H&S-Comp	Total number of incidents	Asset health and safety compliance		0	0	0%					
	·	Per 100,000 hours worked	Injury rate	Direct employees	0.405	0.405	0%					
ealth and safety	•	Per 100,000 hours worked	Lost day rate	Direct employees	2.4	0.4	-83%					
		Days per employee	Absentee rate	Direct employees	0.0084	0.016	+194%					
	H&S-Emp	Total number	Fatalities	Direct employees	0	0	0%					
				Board of Directors members	33%	37.5%	+14%					
	•	•	•	Executive Management	38%	33%	-13%					
				Managers	44%	21%	-52%					
	Diversity-Emp	% of female employees	Diversity Employees	All employees	58%	58%	0%					
versity				Board of Directors members	N/D	N/D	N/D					
	•	•	·	Executive Management	N/D	N/D	N/D					
				Managers	N/D	N/D	N/D					
	Diversity-Pay	Ratio (Women/Men)	Gender pay ratio	All employees	N/D	36%	N/D					
				Women	326	232	-29%					
	•	·	·	Men	253	131	-48%					
	Emp-Training	Average hours	Employee training and development	All employees	579	363	-37%					
				Women	100%	100%	0%					
	•	·	·	Men	100%	100%	0%					
nployees	Emp-Dev	% of employees	Employee performance appraisals	All employees	100%	100%	0%					
				Total number new employees	96	113	+18%					
	•	•	New hires	Proportion new employees	39%	45%	+15%					
				Total number of departed employees	54	81	+50%					
			Departures - Turnover	Proportion of departed employees	22%	32%	+45%					
	Emp-Turnover	Total number and rate	Total employees number		247	253	+2%					
ommunities	Comty-Eng	Percentage of assets	Community engagement, impact assess	sments and development programs	N/D	6%	+100%					
			Composition of the highest governance	e body	9	8	-11%					
	•	•	Executive		2	2	0%					
	Gov-Board	Total number	Non executive		7	6	-14%					
	Gov-Selec	Narrative on process	Process for nominating and selecting the governance body	ne highest	Recommendations by the Nomination Committee and election by AGM.	Recommendations by the Nomination Committee and election by AGM.						
orporate Governance					The Company has procedures in place for managing conflicts of interest. Should a Director become aware that they, or their connected parties have an interest in an existing or proposed transaction with the Company, they are required to notify the Board in writing or verbally at the next Baard	The Company has procedures in place for managing conflicts of interest. Should a Director become aware that they, or their connected parties have an interest in an existing or proposed transaction with the Company, they are required to notify the Board in writing or verbally at the next Board						
	Gov-Col	Narrative on process	Process for managing conflicts of interest	est	Meeting.	Meeting.						